

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,171	04/02/2004	Peter Hesse	250924US0CONT	7879
22850 75	590 10/19/2006		EXAM	INER
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND			WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,171	HESSE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the mail of the provision	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION. It is be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 31-129 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-129</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		,
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	<u></u>
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 31-72, drawn to powder coating comprising matrix polymer and fibers embedded in polyether ketone, classified in class 524, subclass 592.
 - II. Claims 73-92, drawn to Method of making powder by mixing micropowder in suspension and spraying the suspension into the matrix micropowder then evaporating a liquid to obtain granulates, classified in class 516, subclass 135.
 - III. Claims 93-106, drawn to Method of making powder by cooling coarse granulates, grinding and separating the powder, classified in class 264, subclass 118.
 - IV. Claims 107-114, drawn to Method for producing powder by melt processing and cutting or blowing into shape, classified in class 264, subclass 5.
 - V. Claims 115-118, drawn to Method of obtaining a special structure, classified in class 264, subclass 177.1.
 - VI. Claims 119-129, drawn to Product by process class, classified in class 523, subclass 223.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1714

2. Invention II or III or IV or V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the powder is viewed as product and several methods of making them. In fact there are many other ways of making particulate material and the applicants only claimed few. Thos few methods however, are distinct enough to warrant a restriction.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions I and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case upon applicant's request the examiner will be willing to re-join the claims as long as the dependency of the claims is corrected and as long as all the patentable subject matter is included. Instant claims 119-127 are product claims referring to powder according to claim X, (54 for example) while claim X is actually a process claim.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,171 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Charles Wendell on October 11m 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1714

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 8. The applicants are also requested to provide Official Title of the Invention in English.

 Currently, the title of the invention is "UNKNOWN"
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katarzyna Wyrozebski

Page 6

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714